



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,837	04/18/2006	Peter Niebling	INA-26	3427
20311	7590	02/09/2009	EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			HANNON, THOMAS R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			3656
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/09/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/569,837	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NIEBLING ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas R. Hannon	<b>Art Unit</b> 3656

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas R. Hannon. (3) Jason Wachter.

(2) Donald C. Lucas. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 1 (attached).

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,293,124, GB 2,207,470, US 5,640,087, US 6,190,051, JP 2003-107484.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment to claim 1 regarding the boundaries of the radial interspace. Further amendment to the claim to clarify the radial interspace is necessary. Also discussed the location of the sensor with respect to the sealing arrangement. Further amendment to the claim is necessary to clarify the location of the sensor. Each of the references were discussed with respect to how they still read on the amended claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas R. Hannon/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656